

Town of Romulus Planning Board

Meeting Minutes

April 1st, 2019

Call to Order 7:03

Roll Call

Sue Ellen Balluff, Chairman

Tom Bouchard

Kate Sinicropi- Excused

Cindy Meckley

Al Nivison

Bill Karlsen

Mary Joslyn

Guests: Pat Morrell, Tom English

Pledge of Allegiance/Moment of Silence

Approval of March 4th minutes with change: Randy Battaglia (spelling) fix in two places. Change and to an (We asked Earl to submit *an* engineering..)

Tom **motioned** to accept minutes with corrections. Al seconded. **Motion carried.**

New Business: Subdivision application English & Crain. Tom moved to have a public meeting held in our May planning board meeting, Al seconded Motion carried

Tom **motioned** to send to county if required. Bill seconded **Motion carried.**

May 17 training. Planning board members need to send all received training documents to town for filing.

Old business: Subdivision application 6899 Route 96 (Kuepfer):

Short environmental assessment form: Planning board read and answered the following eleven questions as required for Kuepfer subdivision:

Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project:

Date:

**Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Impact Assessment**

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. public / private water supplies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

PRINT FORM

Planning Board's response to above Short EAF Questions:

#1 No

#2 No

#3 No

#4 No

#5 No

#6 No

#7 No

#8 No

#9 No

#10 No

#11 No

AI moved for a negative declaration, Tom seconded, **Motion Carried**

Other old business:

Seneca Produce Auction has never come to the planning board for any permits for auctions.

Earl Martin update: Revised application not received as of today. Sue Ellen talked with Earl, and says he is in the process of hiring a lawyer to help him navigate the legal requirements for his plans on the former Army depot.

School on 96A- Adam has agreed to look into it.

Comprehensive Plan sub committee update: Committee discussed getting the survey out. Mary used Survey Monkey to be used via email and social media. Others have agreed to distribute hard copies of the survey throughout the community. The committee has been recognized by the town per Pat's recommendation to legitimize by the town's board.

Motion to adjourn by AI, Bill seconded, motion carried

Meeting adjourned 9:35 PM

Next scheduled meeting: May 6th, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

Mary A. Joslyn